

# State of Utah

#### DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

December 29, 2015

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED 7014 2870 0001 4231 9357

Chief Consolidated Mining Company 15988 Silver Pass Road P.O. Box 51 Eureka, Utah 84628

Subject: Failure to Abate Cessation Order # MC-2014-60-06, Chief Consolidated Mining

Company, Lime Peak Quarry, M/049/0047, Utah County, Utah

Dear Sir:

On September 8, 2014, you were issued a cessation order for failure to provide adequate surety in the amount of \$8,800. The cessation order had an abatement date of November 8, 2014 to correct the violation. On February 9, 2015, the Division sent out a proposed assessment for a civil penalty in the amount of \$506.00. To date, the Division has not received notification that the required abatement work has been completed, or that the fine has been paid. The proposed assessment was not appealed; therefore the assessed penalty is finalized at \$506.00.

In addition to the above fine, please note that for failing to abate the violation, as per R647-7-103.4, the civil penalty has been increased by a minimum of \$750.00 per day for each day past the abatement date that the violation goes unabated for 30 days. As a result, a separate failure to abate fine has been issued in the amount of \$22,500.

Please remit the above fines within 30 days of this letter. You may contact me at 801-538-5261, or April Abate at 801-538-5214 should you have any questions regarding this letter. Thank you for your prompt response to remedy this situation.

Sincerely,

Paul B. Baker

Minerals Program Manager

Encl. Cessation Order 9.8.2014 Assessment Letter 2.9.2015

cc: Enirgi Group Corporation, One Adelaide Street East, Suite 3001, Toronto, ON M5C 2V9 CANADA





# State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

February 9, 2015

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7014015000001942784

Sherman Young Registered Agent Chief Consolidated Mining Co. 226 W 2230 N Provo, UT 84604

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Cessation Order No. MC-2014-60-06, Chief Consolidated

Mining Company, Lime Peak Quarries, M/049/0047, Utah County, Utah

Response Due By: 30 Days of Receipt

Dear Mr. Young:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the assessment officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced cessation order. The cessation order was issued by Division inspector, April Abate on September 8, 2014. Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to determine the proposed penalty of \$506.00. The enclosed worksheet outlines how the civil penalty was assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Cessation Order has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of this penalty.

Under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you. You may appeal the 'fact of the violation', the proposed civil penalty, or both. If you wish to informally appeal you should file a written request for an informal conference within thirty 30 days of receipt of this letter.

The informal conference will be conducted by a Division-appointed conference officer. The informal conference for the fact of the violation is distinct from the informal assessment conference



regarding the proposed penalty. If you wish to review both the fact of the violation and proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an assessment conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. In this case, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following the review of the fact of the violation.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the violation will stand, the proposed penalty will become final, and will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of this proposed assessment (by March 13, 2015). Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Sheri Sasaki.

Sincerely,

Lynn Kunzler

Assessment Officer

LK:mj

Enclosure: Proposed assessment worksheet

Sheri Sasaki, Accounting Vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec.

# WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

NOV COM	/ CO #: PANY	MC-2 MINE	Chief Consolidated	PERMIT: Maining Co./Li	1/049/0047 ime Peak Qua	rries
ASSE	SSME	NT DA	TE <u>February 3, 2015</u> FICER <u>Lynn Kunzle</u>	5		
I.	I. HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.) (R647–7-103.2.11)  A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which (3) years of today's date?				vacated, which fall three	
		VIOUS V None	VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE	E DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for CO
					TOTAL H	ISTORY POINTS 0
II. SERIOUSNESS (Max 45pts) (R647-7-103.2.12)  NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:  1. Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine each category where the violation falls.  2. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjust up or down, utilizing the inspector=s and operator=s statements as guiding documents.  Is this an EVENT (A) or Administrative (B) violation? (B) Event				Officer will determine within t Officer will adjust the points nents as guiding documents.		
(assign points according to A or B)  A. EVENT VIOLATIONS (Max 45 pts.)  1. What is the event which the violated standard was designed to p					s designed to prevent?	
		2.	What is the probabilistandard was designed PROBABILITY None Unlikely Likely Occurred	d to prevent?	POINT RA  0 1-9 10-19 20	vent which a violated <u>NGE</u>

## PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

With the operator potentially selling this operation, and potential new company not making contact with the Division, it is considered somewhat likely that the operator will not provide the addition surety and if sold, would not do the reclamation. This would leave the Division with a shortage of funds to reclaim the site. Points assigned at the bottom of the Likely range.

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 10

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage: <u>The Division may not have adequate funds to fully reclaim. The shortage of funds is estimated between 2-4% shortage if the Surety was forfeited now and the reclamation work began this summer.</u>

#### ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS (RANGE 0-25) 5

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: <u>Points assigned at the lower 20%</u> range due to shortage of funds at this time is estimated to be only 2-4%

#### B. ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Max 25pts)

1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement?

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS NA

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 15

#### III. <u>DEGREE OF FAULT</u> (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13)

A. IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE. Point Range No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care?)

Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care?)

Greater Degree of Fault (was this a failure to abate any violation or was economic gain realized by the permittee?

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligent

#### ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: Points assigned at mid-point of negligence range. Operator had been notified of need to increase surety in writing, Attempts to verbally notify operator via phone was not successful.

## IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

Violation has not yet been abated, therefore Good faith points cannot be awarded.

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures, or violations not abated at the time of assessment)

## Has Violation Been Abated? Yes / No

A. EASY ABATEMENT (The operator had onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area.)

*	Point Range
Immediate Compliance	-11 to -20
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)	
Rapid Compliance	-1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	

B. DIFFICULT ABATEMENT (The operator did not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or the submission of plans was required prior to physical activity to achieve compliance.)

Rapid Compliance	Point Range -11 to -20
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	-1 to -10
(Operator complied within the abatement period)	
Extended Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay	
within the limits of the violation, or the plan submitted	
for abatement was incomplete.)	

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT?

<b>ASSIGN</b>	<b>GOOD</b>	<b>FAITH</b>	<b>POINTS</b>	NA

# PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: \_\_

# V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	15
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	8
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	0
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	23

TOTAL ASSESSED FINE \$506.00

# Citation for Non-Compliance Citation #: MC-2014-60-6

Utah Minerals Regulatory Program 1594 West North Temple, Salt Lake City, UT 84114 Phone: (801) 538-5340 Fax: (801) 359-3940		Permit Number: M/049/0047					
			Α.Υ.		09/08/2014		
NOTICE OF VIOLATION CESSATION ORDER				153		TO ABATE CO	
Permittee Name: Chief Consolidation			Inspector II		) ari		Abate 60
Mine Name: Lime Peak Quarries	ii iviiiiiig C	Company	Date of Insp		, 		
Certified Return Receipt Number: 2011 2	970 0001 5	R27 9272	Date and Ti		001	Not Appl	
Nature of condition, practice, or viola		10-1 4013	Date and 11	ine of Servi		09/04/201	4 01:09 pm
Failure to provide adequate surety 2, 2014 but has not provided the amount of \$106,800. The Division	additional s on is requiri	urety to date.	The surety	currently	held	lis a cash bo	nd in the
Provisions of Act, regulations, or pern							
R647-4-113 and 40-8-16- The op	erator is rec	quired to main	tain adequa	ate surety	for t	he life of the	mine.
For Cessation Orders and Failure to Aba							
✓ This order requires (	and the same of th		ining act	ivities.			
This order requires C	Cessation		ON(S) of	f the mi	nino	activities	
Mining activities to be ceased imme	ediately:		31.(2) 01			activities.	
Mining operations are not to resume until an adequate surety is posted. Until such time the Notice of Intent to Commence Mining is suspended.							
Condition, practice, or violation imminent danger to health or sail	is creating an fety of the pul	blic.	Permi activi	ittee/Operat	tor is/l t a Per	nas been condu	cting mining
Condition, practice, or violation is causing or can reasonably be expected to cause significant, imminent environmental harm to land, air, or water resources.  Permittee has failed to abate Violation included in Citation # within time for abatement originally fixed or subsequently extended.					within time for		
Abatement/corrective action(s) required (for all Citations):  Abatement Times (if applicable)							
Submit additional surety as outlined in the 7/2/2014 correspondence letter from the Division to Sherman C. Young, agent for Cheif Consolidated Mining Co.							
Permittee Representative (Print)  Permittee Representative's Signature  Date  April A. Abate  DOGM Representative (Print)  Dogm Representative's Signature  Dogm Representative's Signature							
SEE REVERSE SIDE Of This Form For Instructions And Additional Information							

# U.S. Postal Service™ CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT

For delivery information	ation, visit our website	e at www.usps.com®.
Postage	\$	M/049/0047
Certified Fee		12-29-19
Return Receipt Fee Endorsement Required)		Postmark Here Cess hon Order
Restricted Delivery Fee Endorsement Required)		
Total Postage & Fees	\$	Lime Peak Quarry

CHIEF CONSOLIDATED MINING CO Street & Apt. No., 15988 SILVER PASS ROAD PO BOX 51 or PO Box No. City, State, ZIP+4 EUREKA UT 84628

PS Form 3800, July 2014

See Reverse for Instructions

#### SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.
- 1. Article Addressed to:

CHIEF CONSOLIDATED MINING CO 15988 SILVER PASS ROAD PO BOX 51 EUREKA UT 84628

A. Signature

X

Service Type Certified Mail® ☐ Priority Mail Express™

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?  $\ \square$  Yes

If YES, enter delivery address below:

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

B. Received by (Printed Name)

☐ Registered ☐ Insured Mail

7014 2870 0001 4231 9357

☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise ☐ Collect on Delivery

☐ Agent

C. Date of Delivery

☐ Addressee

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

(Transfer from service label) PS Form 3811, July 2013

Domestic Return Receipt

#### State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division of Oil, Gas and Mining 1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210 PO Box 145801 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5801

RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED



7014 2870 0001 4231 9357



